

Overview of the Project Completion Report

TA 8669 - CAM

Stephen D. Houston

Senior Disaster Risk Management Advisor
National Committee for Disaster Management

Overview of the Document

- Executive Summary
- Project Fact Sheet and Description
- Description of Inputs and Implementation
- Achievement of Outputs
- Performance Assessment
- Conclusion

TA 8669 - CAM: Strengthening Coordination for Management of Disasters

Physical Progress (as of 30 June 2018)

Components		Status	Assigned Weight (a)	Actual Progress (b)	Weighted Progress c (a x b)
1.	Policy environment for effective disaster risk management		20%		16%
1.1	Appoint and train DRM focal points from the line ministries	Appointed	3%	1.00	3%
1.2	Conduct high-level dialogue to mainstream DRR into National Strategic Development Plan	Paper work delivered	3%	0.60	2%
1.3	Assist line ministries in incorporating DRR into sectoral plans	Paper work delivered	3%	0.70	2%
1.4	Organize three interministerial level policy development workshops	1 workshop conducted	3%	0.50	2%
1.5	Draft relevant DRM policies and strategies and organize consultations for approvals	LDM endorsed	2%	0.90	2%
1.6	Develop and operationalize national guidelines to integrate DRR into district and commune development plans	Paper work delivered	2%	0.80	2%
1.7	Review relevant legislation for disaster management structure	Done	2%	1.00	2%
1.8	Develop and roll out policies, terms of reference and standard operating procedures for disaster management coordination	Sub national - done	2%	0.90	2%



Guidelines

April 2016

Guidelines for the Evaluation of Public Sector
Operations

Performance Assessment

1. **Relevance** – the extent to which intended outcomes were aligned with ADB and Cambodian gvt. priorities, and the project design was appropriate.
2. **Efficiency** - How well the project used resources to achieve its outcomes.
Quantitative measures where possible.
3. **Effectiveness** -looks at whether the project's intended outcomes were achieved, and whether any unintended outcomes had inadvertently reduced the value of the project.

Performance Assessment (continued)

4. **Impact** – What long-term difference will it make? Positive and negative. Intended and unintended.

5. **Sustainability** – Will it last?

- for infrastructure, will it be maintained?
- For training: are there institutional arrangements for training new staff, refresher courses, simulations or real life activity.
- For policies: are they operationalized, and what actions are taken as a result.

Ratings

On Efficiency, a project can be rated highly efficient, efficient, less than efficient, or inefficient.

For Relevance it can be rated highly relevant, relevant, less than relevant, or irrelevant.

Questions? Comments?

The End